



New York Department of Health
Delivery System Reform Incentive
Payment (DSRIP) Program

NYS DSRIP PPS Final Scores and
Recommendations:
St. Barnabas Hospital
(dba SBH Health System)

March 13, 2015

St. Barnabas Hospital (dba SBH Health System)

Organizational Component Scores

#	Section	IA Subjective Points Awarded	Total Possible Subjective Points	Panel Modifications	Final Subjective Points Awarded
1	Executive Summary	Pass	Pass/Fail	N/A	Pass
2	Governance	24.75	25		24.75
3	Community Needs Assessment	25.00	25		25.00
5	Workforce Strategy	15.85	16		15.85
6	Data Sharing, Confidentiality & Rapid Cycle Evaluation	5.00	5		5.00
7	PPS Cultural Competency/Health Literacy	15.00	15		15.00
8	DSRIP Budget & Flow of Funds	Pass	Pass/Fail	N/A	Pass
9	Financial Sustainability Plan	10.00	10		10.00
	Final Organizational Subjective Score	95.60	96.00	0.00	95.60

Individual Project Scores

#	Project	IA Subjective Points Awarded	Total Possible Subjective Points	Panel Modifications	Final Subjective Points Awarded
1	2.a.i	38.33	40		38.33
2	2.a.iii	20.00	20		20.00
3	2.b.iii	20.00	20		20.00
4	2.b.iv	20.00	20		20.00
5	3.a.i	20.00	20		20.00
6	3.b.i	20.00	20		20.00
7	3.c.i	20.00	20		20.00
8	3.d.ii	20.00	20		20.00
9	4.a.iii	100.00	100		100.00
10	4.c.ii	100.00	100		100.00

Bonus Points

Section	IA Subjective Points Awarded	Total Possible Subjective Points	Panel Modifications	Final Subjective Points Awarded
2.a.i IDS Bonus	3.00	3		3.00
Workforce Strategy Bonus	1.33	3	1.67	3.00



Department
of Health

Medicaid
Redesign Team

State of New York
Department of Health
Delivery System Reform Incentive Payment (DSRIP) Program
PPS Project Application Score Modifications from DSRIP
Project Approval and Oversight Panel

PPS: St. Barnabas Hospital (dba SBH Health System)

Project/Application Component: Workforce Bonus

Panel Action Taken: The Panel increased the Workforce Bonus subjective scoring by 1.67 points from 1.33 to 3 points.

Explanation: The Panel felt there was sufficient evidence that the PPS did engage a proven workforce healthcare vendor and therefore should be awarded the full available bonus points instead of partial points. The language only indicated the PPS intended to contract with a proven workforce vendor and as a result only partial points were initially awarded by the IA.



Organizational and Project Scoring Summary Tables

Organizational Component Scores

Please note, the organizational component score is worth 30% of the final application score.

Section Points Possible		Reviewer Scores						Subjective Scores				Objective Score	Panel Adjustment	Final Adjusted Score
Section	Points Possible	1	2	3	4	5	6	Median	Average	Trimmed Average	Selected Subjective Score ¹	Workforce Score		
Executive Summary	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	N/A		Pass
Governance	25	24.50	24.79	24.48	25.00	22.67	25.00	24.65	24.41	24.75	24.75	N/A		24.75
Community Needs Assessment	25	24.44	25.00	25.00	24.58	25.00	25.00	25.00	24.84	24.92	25.00	N/A		25.00
Workforce Strategy	20	15.80	15.83	15.60	16.00	14.77	16.00	15.82	15.67	15.85	15.85	2.00		17.85
Data Sharing, Confidentiality & Rapid Cycle Evaluation	5	5.00	4.83	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.97	5.00	5.00	N/A		5.00
PPS Cultural Competency/Health Literacy	15	15.00	15.00	15.00	15.00	15.00	15.00	15.00	15.00	15.00	15.00	N/A		15.00
DSRIP Budget & Flow of Funds	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	N/A		Pass
Financial Sustainability Plan	10	8.89	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	9.81	10.00	10.00	N/A		10.00
													Total	97.60

¹ **Selected Subjective Score** is the highest of the median, average, and trimmed average



Project Scores

Please note, the project scores are worth 70% of the final application score.

Points Possible		Reviewer Scores						Subjective Scores				Objective Scores		Panel Adjustment	Final Adjusted Score
Project #	Subjective Points Possible	1	2	3	4	5	6	Median	Average	Trimmed Average	Selected Subjective Score ¹	Scale Score	Speed Score		
2.a.i	40	36.67	40.00	36.67	40.00	36.67	40.00	38.33	38.33	38.33	38.33	15.89	30.00		84.22
2.a.iii	20	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	30.85	35.00		85.85
2.b.iii	20	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	27.47	33.75		81.22
2.b.iv	20	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	28.25	34.64		82.89
3.a.i	20	20.00	20.00	18.67	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	19.78	20.00	20.00	27.80	34.17		81.96
3.b.i	20	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	26.79	32.50		79.29
3.c.i	20	17.33	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	19.56	20.00	20.00	28.27	37.50		85.77
3.d.ii	20	17.33	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	19.56	20.00	20.00	29.70	34.57		84.27
4.a.iii	100	94.44	100.00	100.00	94.44	100.00	100.00	100.00	98.15	98.15	100.00				100.00
4.c.ii	100	77.78	100.00	100.00	100.00	94.44	100.00	100.00	95.37	98.89	100.00				100.00

¹ **Selected Subjective Score** is the highest of the median, average, and trimmed average



Final Application Score Calculation

Please note the final application score is comprised of 30% Organizational Score + 70% Project Score + Bonuses

Project #	Organizational Score	Weighted Organizational Score 30%	Project Score	Weighted Project Score 70%	Bonus (2.a.i IDS)	Bonus (Workforce)	Bonus (2.d.i Project)	Panel Adjustment	Final Application Score
2.a.i	97.60	29.28	84.22	58.95	3.00	1.33	TBD	1.67	94.24
2.a.iii	97.60	29.28	85.85	60.10	N/A	1.33	TBD	1.67	92.38
2.b.iii	97.60	29.28	81.22	56.85	N/A	1.33	TBD	1.67	89.13
2.b.iv	97.60	29.28	82.89	58.02	N/A	1.33	TBD	1.67	90.31
3.a.i	97.60	29.28	81.96	57.37	N/A	1.33	TBD	1.67	89.66
3.b.i	97.60	29.28	79.29	55.51	N/A	1.33	TBD	1.67	87.79
3.c.i	97.60	29.28	85.77	60.04	N/A	1.33	TBD	1.67	92.33
3.d.ii	97.60	29.28	84.27	58.99	N/A	1.33	TBD	1.67	91.27
4.a.iii	97.60	29.28	100.00	70.00	N/A	1.33	TBD	1.67	100.00
4.c.ii	97.60	29.28	100.00	70.00	N/A	1.33	TBD	1.67	100.00