HIV/AIDS VBP Quality Measurement

Douglas G. Fish, MD

Medical Director, Division of Program Development

& Management

Office of Health Insurance Programs

Lindsay Cogan, PhD, MS

Director, Division of Quality Measurement

Office of Quality and Patient Safety

Agenda

1. NYS Quality Measurement Strategy Discussion 10 min

2. MY 2019 HIV/AIDS VBP Measure Set Discussion 10 min

3. Discussion and Next Steps 10 Min



Section 1: Introduction

VBP Timeline



of Health

VBP Quality Measure Set Annual Review

NYSDOH Communicates to MCO and VBP Contractors

Final VBP Workgroup Approval*

Data Collection and Reporting

NYSDOH Technical Review Annual Review Cycle

Review Measure Results

CAG Annual Meeting

Assess Changes to Measures, Retirement, or Replacement

Annual Review

Clinical Advisory Groups will convene to evaluate the following:

- Feedback from VBP Contractors, MCOs, and stakeholders; and
- Any significant changes in evidence base of underlying measures and/ or conceptual gaps in the measurement program.

New York State Department of Health (NYSDOH) and State Review Panel

- Review data, technical specification changes or other factors that influence measure inclusion/ exclusion*;
- Review measures under development to test reliability and validity; and
- Review measure categorizations from CAG and make recommendations where appropriate (Cat. 1 vs. Cat. 2; P4P vs. P4R).

Quality Measure Categorization

- Category 1 and 2 quality measures are recommended by the Clinical Advisory Groups (CAGs), accepted by the State, and approved by the VBP Workgroup.
- At least one Category 1 P4P measure must be included in a VBP contract.

The State classified each Category 1 measure as P4P or P4R:

Pay for Performance (P4P)

- Measures designated as P4P are intended to be used in the determination of shared savings amounts for which VBP Contractors are eligible.
- Performance on the measures can be included in both the determination of the target budget and in the calculation of shared savings for VBP Contractors.

Pay for Reporting (P4R)

- Measures designated as P4R are intended to be used by MCOs to incentivize VBP Contractors for reporting data to monitor quality of care delivered to members under the VBP contract.
- MCOs and VBP Contractors will be incentivized based on timeliness, accuracy & completeness of data reporting.
- Category 2 measures are P4R and are not required to be reported, with the exception of the VBP Pilots.



Section 2: NYS Quality Measurement Strategy

Quality Measure Prioritization: Goals for 2018 Measure Set Review



Quality Measure Prioritization: Goals for MY 2019

- Prioritize a focused list of high-value quality measures for VBP in MY 2019.
- Key Principles in measure prioritization:
 - Process → Outcome; and
 - Focus on efficient measurement.
- Goals
 - Focus on a core set of measures and minimize administrative burden for providers where possible;
 - Select measures compiled from clinical data, rather than claims, to allow for feedback loops from the measure result back into clinical decision-making; and
 - Align quality measurement efforts across stakeholder communities and State and Federal quality programs.
- Gain agreement from statewide stakeholders and CMS to focus NYS quality measurement efforts on high-value measures applicable to all payers.



Consensus-Based Decision Process

- Consensus decision-making is a creative and dynamic way of reaching agreement between all members of a group.
- Participants make decisions by agreement rather than by majority vote.
- Levels of Consensus
 - 1. Do not Support
 - 2. Still have questions
 - 3. Can live with/will publicly support
 - 4. Support
 - 5. Strongly Support



HIV/AIDS VBP Priority Measures – Approved by VBP Workgroup for 2019

Priority HIV/AIDS Measure	Category	Claims Based	Non Claims Based				
Outcome / Intermediate Outcome Measures							
Comprehensive Diabetes Care: Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) Poor Control (>9.0%)	Cat 1	No	Claims, Electronic Health Data, Electronic Health Records, Paper Medical Records				
Controlling High Blood Pressure	Cat 1	No	E.H.R., CMS Web Interface				
Depression Remission Response for Adolescents and Adults	Cat 2 (?)	No	-				
HIV Viral Load Suppression	Cat 1	No	Hybrid measure, Medical Record Review				
Priority Evidence Based Process Measures							
Initiation and Engagement of Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse Dependence Treatment (IET)	Cat 1	Yes	-				
Medication Management for People with Asthma	Cat 1	Yes	-				

- **HIV Viral Load Suppression** has previously been discussed as the priority outcome measure with this group.
 - * "Prioritizing" is synonymous with recommending a measure for inclusion in an HIV arrangement, for this condition.



Section 3: MY 2019 HIV/AIDS-Specific VBP Measure Set



MY2019 HIV/AIDS-Specific Measure Set

HIV/AIDS Measure	Category	Classification	Measure Steward	NQF Endorsed?
Sexually Transmitted Infections: Screening for Chlamydia, Gonorrhea, and Syphilis	Cat 1	P4P	NYSDOH	No
Viral Load Suppression	Cat 1	P4P		Yes
Potentially Avoidable Complication (PAC) in Patients with HIV/AIDS	Cat 1	P4R	Altarum	No
Linkage to HIV Medical Care	Cat 2	-	NYS	No
Substance Abuse Screening	Cat 2	-	HRSA, HIV/AIDS Bureau	No

Measures Requiring Feedback

Sexually Transmitted Infections: Screening for Chlamydia, Gonorrhea, and Syphilis

- Consensus as of last meeting: Still have questions
 - Single site (at least one site) vs multiple site testing
- Denominator: HIV positive patients 13 years of age or older
- Three separate numerators:
 - Patients with Syphilis Screening Performed during measurement year
 - Patients with at least One Urine/Cervical/Urethral Test for Chlamydia during measurement year
 - Patients with at least One Urine/Cervical/Urethral Test for Gonorrhea during the measurement year



Goals

Come to consensus on measure definition for STI screening measure

	2016 HIV SNP Average
Screened for Syphilis	73%
Genital Chlamydia Screening	51%
Rectal Chlamydia Screening Among MSM and M/F Transgender Population	35%
Genital Gonorrhea Screening	49%
Rectal Gonorrhea Testing Among MSM and M/F Transgender Population	35%
Pharyngeal Gonorrhea testing Among MSM and M/F Transgender Population	30%



STI Screening Measure Alternatives

1. Adopt current measure

Data source

November 2018

HIV SNP plan quality data

Measure specification

Use existing



Use existing process

2. Change to Multisite Test

Data Source

Add to HIV SNP plan quality data

Measure specification

Work to develop, test, and implement

Measure results

Dependent on data source



STI Screening Measure Discussion

- Recommend adopting current measure
 - Patients with Syphilis Screening Performed during measurement year
 - Patients with at Least One Urine/Cervical/Urethral Test for Chlamydia during measurement year
 - Patients with at Least One Urine/Cervical/Urethral Test for Gonorrhea during the measurement year
- Levels of Consensus
 - Do not Support
 - Still have questions
 - Can live with/will publicly support
 - Support
 - Strongly Support



Next Steps

- Please provide any feedback on the evolving HIV/AIDS Quality Measure set and send suggestions or recommendations.
- Provide additional feedback /recommendations to the following addresses by December 13, 2018:

vbp@health.ny.gov matthew.reuter@health.ny.gov



Thank you!