

ANDREW M. CUOMO Governor

HOWARD A. ZUCKER, M.D., J.D. Commissioner

SALLY DRESLIN, M.S., R.N. Executive Deputy Commissioner

June 30, 2015

National Institutional Reimbursement Team Attention: Mark Cooley CMS, CMCS 7500 Security Boulevard, M/S S3-14-28 Baltimore, MD 21244-1850

RE: SPA #15-0047

Long Term Care Facility Services

Dear Mr. Cooley:

The State requests approval of the enclosed amendment #15-0047 to the Title XIX (Medicaid) State Plan for long term care facility services to be effective June 1, 2015 (Appendix I). This amendment is being submitted based on State budgeted allocations. A summary of the proposed amendment is provided in Appendix II.

This amendment is submitted pursuant to §1902(a) of the Social Security Act (42 USC 1396a(a)) and Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 447, Subpart C, (42 CFR §447).

A copy of the pertinent section of proposed State statute is enclosed for your information (Appendix III). Copies of the public notice of this proposed amendment, which was given in the New York State Register on May 13, 2015, is also enclosed for your information (Appendix IV). In addition responses to the five standard funding questions are also enclosed (Appendix V).

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please do not hesitate to contact John E. Ulberg, Jr., Medicaid Chief Financial Officer, Division of Finance and Rate Setting at (518) 474-6350.

Sincerely

Jason A. Helgerson Medicaid Director

Office of Health Insurance Programs

Enclosures

cc: Mr. Michael Melendez

Mr. Tom Brady

TRANSMITTAL AND NOTICE OF APPROVAL OF	1 TRANSMITTAL NUMBER	OMB NO. 0938-	
STATE PLAN MATERIAL	1. TRANSMITTAL NUMBER:	2. STATE	
STATE TEM MATERIAL	15-0047		
FOR: HEALTH CARE FINANCING ADMINISTRATION	2 PROCE AND INCIDENT OF THE PROCESS O	New York	
The state of the s	3. PROGRAM IDENTIFICATION: TI	TLE XIX OF THE	
	SOCIAL SECURITY ACT (MEDI	CAID)	
TO: REGIONAL ADMINISTRATOR	4. PROPOSED EFFECTIVE DATE		
HEALTH CARE FINANCING ADMINISTRATION	June 1, 2015		
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES	June 1, 2013		
5. TYPE OF PLAN MATERIAL (Check One):			
in the state of th			
☐ NEW STATE PLAN ☐ AMENDMENT TO BE CONSIDERED AS NEW PLAN ☐ AMENDMENT			
COMPLETE BLOCKS 6 THRU 10 IF THIS IS AN AMENDMENT (Separate Transmittal for each amendment)			
6. FEDERAL STATUTE/REGULATION CITATION:	7 FEDERAL DUDGET IN 184 CT. (1	nendment)	
§1902(a) of the Social Security Act, and 42 CFR 447	7. FEDERAL BUDGET IMPACT: (in	thousands)	
g1702(a) of the Social Security Act, and 42 CFR 44/	a. FFY 06/01/15-09/30/15 \$ 11,50	0.00	
8. PAGE NUMBER OF THE PLAN SECTION OR ATTACHMENT:	b. FFY 10/01/15-09/30/16 \$ 23,00		
C. TAGE NOMBER OF THE FEAR SECTION OR ATTACHMENT:	9. PAGE NUMBER OF THE SUPERS		
Attachment 4.19-D Part I: 110(d(30)	SECTION OR ATTACHMENT (If App	plicable):	
Attachment 4.13-D 1 art 1. 110(u(30)			
10. SUBJECT OF AMENDMENT:			
Nursing Home Advanced Training Initiative			
(FMAP = 50%)			
11. GOVERNOR'S REVIEW (Check One):			
☐ GOVERNOR'S OFFICE REPORTED NO COMMENT	OTHER ASSESSED	IEIEE	
COMMENTS OF GOVERNOR'S OFFICE ENCLOSED	OTHER, AS SPEC	IFIED:	
NO REPLY RECEIVED WITHIN 45 DAYS OF SUBMITTAL			
INO REPET RECEIVED WITHIN 45 DATS OF SUBMITTAL			
12. SIGNATURE OF STATE AGENCY OFFICIAL:	16. RETURN TO:		
12. DOLL OF STATE AGENCY OF TICIAE.			
	New York State Department of Healt	n	
13. TYPED NAME: Vason A. Helgerson	Division of Finance and Rate Setting	D)	
	99 Washington Ave – One Commerce Suite 1460	Plaza	
14. TITLE: Medicaid Director	1 0		
Department of Health	Albany, NY 12210		
15. DATE SUBMITTED: June 30, 2015			
FOR REGIONAL OFFI		The state of the s	
17. DATE RECEIVED:	18. DATE APPROVED:		
PLAN APPROVED – ONE C	COPY ATTACHED		
19. EFFECTIVE DATE OF APPROVED MATERIAL:	20. SIGNATURE OF REGIONAL OF	FICIAL:	
21. TYPED NAME:	22. TITLE:		
		对自己是否则	
23. REMARKS:			
		在初度是	

Appendix I 2015 Title XIX State Plan Second Quarter Amendment Amended SPA Pages

New York 110(d)(30)

Nursing Home Advanced Training

To reduce avoidable hospital admissions for nursing home residents, New York will incentivize and encourage facilities to develop training programs aimed at early detection of patient decline. Such programs would allow frontline caregivers to provide staff with the training/tools needed to identify resident characteristics that may signify clinical complications. A comprehensive training program would lead to consistent staff assignment in an effort to ensure that families and residents can rely on highly trained caregivers to provide effective, high quality, individualized care.

Patient decline detection programs would assist caregivers with identifying residents who are exhibiting warning signs for worsening clinical conditions and allow for rapid intervention to avoid the decline and possible hospitalization. The goal of such training programs would be to rein in the high costs of avoidable hospitalizations, improving the quality of life for New York's nursing home residents. This initiative would reward nursing home providers who have shown a commitment to giving direct care staff the tools to help lower resident hospitalization rates.

Participating providers will be required to develop (or continue) a training curriculum to help direct care staff identify changes in a resident's physical, mental, or functional status that could lead to hospitalization. Both the continuity policy and training program would be developed and implemented in coordination with Department of Health oversight.

TN #15-0047	Approval Date	
Supersedes TN <u>NEW</u>	Effective Date	

Appendix II 2015 Title XIX State Plan Second Quarter Amendment Summary

SUMMARY SPA #15-0047

This State Plan Amendment proposes to implement a new nursing home advanced training program aimed at teaching staff to detect early changes in a resident's physical and mental or functional status that could lead to hospitalization.

This training program will be developed in cooperation between Nursing Home providers and the Department of Health offering training opportunities for staff or other qualifying training programs. These programs and their curricula will be submitted to DOH for review.

Appendix III 2015 Title XIX State Plan Second Quarter Amendment Authorizing Provisions

Appendix IV 2015 Title XIX State Plan Second Quarter Amendment Public Notice

MISCELLANEOUS NOTICES/HEARINGS

Notice of Abandoned Property Received by the State Comptroller

Pursuant to provisions of the Abandoned Property Law and related laws, the Office of the State Comptroller receives unclaimed monies and other property deemed abandoned. A list of the names and last known addresses of the entitled owners of this abandoned property is maintained by the office in accordance with Section 1401 of the Abandoned Property Law. Interested parties may inquire if they appear on the Abandoned Property Listing by contacting the Office of Unclaimed Funds, Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., at:

1-800-221-9311 or visit our web site at: www.osc.state.ny.us

Claims for abandoned property must be filed with the New York State Comptroller's Office of Unclaimed Funds as provided in Section 1406 of the Abandoned Property Law. For further information contact: Office of the State Comptroller, Office of Unclaimed Funds, 110 State St., Albany, NY 12236.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Department of Health

Pursuant to 42 CFR Section 447.205, the Department of Health hereby gives public notice of the following:

The Department of Health proposes to amend the Title XIX (Medicaid) State Plan for long term care services and non-institutional services, to comply with recently enacted statutory provisions. The following provides clarification to provisions previously noticed on March 26, 2014, and notification of new significant changes:

Long Term Care Services

Effective June 1, 2015, the Department of Health (DOH) will implement a new nursing home advanced training program aimed at teaching staff to detect early changes in a resident's physical and mental or functional status that could lead to hospitalization. Clinical findings show early detection of patient decline by front line workers, coupled with clinical care models aimed at fostering consistent and continuous care between care givers and patients/families results in better care outcomes. Similarly, nursing homes with higher staff retention rates correlate with better care outcomes and avoided hospital stays.

This training program will be developed in cooperation between Nursing Home providers and union representatives offering training opportunities for staff or other qualifying training programs.

These programs and their curricula will be submitted to DOH for review. In addition to offering a training program, eligible facilities must have direct care staff retention rates above the state median. However, hospital-based nursing homes and free standing nursing homes already receiving VAP payments would not be eligible to participate.

The estimated annual net aggregate increase in gross Medicaid expenditures attributable to this initiative contained in the budget for state fiscal year 2015/2016 is \$46 million.

Non-Institutional Services:

As previously noticed March 26, 2014, clarifies that upon the election of the social services district in which an eligible diagnostic and treatment center (DTC) is physically located, up to \$12.6 million in additional annual Medicaid payments may be paid to public DTCs operated by the New York City Health and Hospitals Corporation. Such payments will be based on each DTCs proportionate share of the sum of all clinic visits for all facilities eligible for an adjustment of the base year two years prior to the rate year. The proportionate share payments may be added to rates of payment or made as aggregate payments to eligible DTCs, continuing annually thereafter for the period April 1, 2015 through March 31, 2016.

As previously noticed March 26, 2014, clarifies that up to \$5.4 million in additional annual Medicaid payments may be paid to county operated free-standing clinics, not including facilities operated by the New York City Health and Hospitals Corporation, for services provided by such DTC and those provided by a county operated freestanding mental health or substance abuse DTC. Distributions shall be based on each eligible facility's proportionate share of the sum of all DTC and clinic visits for all eligible facilities receiving payments for the base year two years prior to the rate year. The proportionate share payments may be added to rates of payment or made as aggregate payments to eligible facilities, continuing annually thereafter for the period April 1, 2015 through March 31, 2016.

The public is invited to review and comment on this proposed State Plan Amendment. Copies of which will be available for public review on the Department's website at http://www.health.ny.gov/regulations/state_plans/status.

Copies of the proposed State Plan Amendments will be on file in each local (county) social services district and available for public review.

For the New York City district, copies will be available at the following places:

New York County 250 Church Street New York, New York 10018

Queens County, Queens Center 3220 Northern Boulevard Long Island City, New York 11101

Kings County, Fulton Center 114 Willoughby Street Brooklyn, New York 11201

Bronx County, Tremont Center 1916 Monterey Avenue Bronx, New York 10457

Richmond County, Richmond Center 95 Central Avenue, St. George Staten Island, New York 10301

For further information and to review and comment, please contact: Department of Health, Bureau of Federal Relations and Provider Assessments, 99 Washington Ave. – One Commerce Plaza, Suite 1460, Albany, NY 12210, spa_inquiries@health.ny.gov

Appendix V 2015 Title XIX State Plan Second Quarter Amendment Responses to Standard Funding Questions

APPENDIX V LONG TERM CARE SERVICES State Plan Amendment #15-0047

CMS Standard Funding Questions (NIRT Standard Funding Questions)

The following questions are being asked and should be answered in relation to all payments made to all providers under Attachment 4.19-D of your state plan.

1. Section 1903(a)(1) provides that Federal matching funds are only available for expenditures made by States for services under the approved State plan. Do providers receive and retain the total Medicaid expenditures claimed by the State (includes normal per diem, supplemental, enhanced payments, other) or is any portion of the payments returned to the State, local governmental entity, or any other intermediary organization? If providers are required to return any portion of payments, please provide a full description of the repayment process. Include in your response a full description of the methodology for the return of any of the amount or percentage of payments that are returned and the disposition and use of the funds once they are returned to the State (i.e., general fund, medical services account, etc.)

Response: Providers do retain the payments made pursuant to this amendment. However, this requirement in no way prohibits the public provider, including county providers, from reimbursing the sponsoring local government for appropriate expenses incurred by the local government on behalf of the public provider. The State does not regulate the financial relationships that exist between public health care providers and their sponsoring governments, which are extremely varied and complex. Local governments may provide direct and/or indirect monetary subsidies to their public providers to cover on-going unreimbursed operational expenses and assure achievement of their mission as primary safety net providers. Examples of appropriate expenses may include payments to the local government which include reimbursement for debt service paid on a provider's behalf, reimbursement for Medicare Part B premiums paid for a provider's retirees, reimbursement for contractually required health benefit fund payments made on a provider's behalf, and payment for overhead expenses as allocated per federal Office of Management and Budget Circular A-87 regarding Cost Principles for State, Local, and Indian Tribal Governments. The existence of such transfers should in no way negate the legitimacy of these facilities' Medicaid payments or result in reduced Medicaid federal financial participation for the State. This position was further supported by CMS in review and approval of SPA 07-07C when an on-site audit of these transactions for New York City's Health and Hospitals Corporation was completed with satisfactory results.

- 2. Section 1902(a)(2) provides that the lack of adequate funds from local sources will not result in lowering the amount, duration, scope, or quality of care and services available under the plan. Please describe how the state share of each type of Medicaid payment (normal per diem, supplemental, enhanced, other) is funded. Please describe whether the state share is from appropriations from the legislature to the Medicaid agency, through intergovernmental transfer agreements (IGTs), certified public expenditures (CPEs), provider taxes, or any other mechanism used by the state to provide state share. Note that, if the appropriation is not to the Medicaid agency, the source of the state share would necessarily be derived through either an IGT or CPE. In this case, please identify the agency to which the funds are appropriated. Please provide an estimate of total expenditure and State share amounts for each type of Medicaid payment. If any of the non-federal share is being provided using IGTs or CPEs, please fully describe the matching arrangement including when the state agency receives the transferred amounts from the local government entity transferring the funds. If CPEs are used, please describe the methodology used by the state to verify that the total expenditures being certified are eligible for Federal matching funds in accordance with 42 CFR 433.51(b). For any payment funded by CPEs or IGTs, please provide the following:
 - a complete list of the names of entities transferring or certifying funds;
 - the operational nature of the entity (state, county, city, other);
 - (iii) the total amounts transferred or certified by each entity;
 - (iv) clarify whether the certifying or transferring entity has general taxing authority; and,
 - (v) whether the certifying or transferring entity received appropriations (identify level of appropriations).

Response: Payments made to service providers under the provisions of this SPA are funded through a budget appropriation received by the State agency that oversees medical assistance (Medicaid), which is the Department of Health. The source of the appropriation is the Local Assistance Account under the General Fund/Aid to Localities.

3. Section 1902(a)(30) requires that payments for services be consistent with efficiency, economy, and quality of care. Section 1903(a)(1) provides for Federal financial participation to States for expenditures for services under an approved State plan. If supplemental or enhanced payments are made, please provide the total amount for each type of supplemental or enhanced payment made to each provider type.

Response: The payments authorized for this provision are not supplemental or enhanced payments.

4. Please provide a detailed description of the methodology used by the state to estimate the upper payment limit (UPL) for each class of providers (State owned or operated, non-state government owned or operated, and privately owned or operated). Please provide a current (i.e. applicable to the current rate year) UPL demonstration. Under regulations at 42 CFR 4447.272, States are prohibited from setting payment rates for Medicaid inpatient services that exceed a reasonable estimate of the amount that would be paid under Medicare payment principals.

Response: The State and CMS are having ongoing discussions regarding the 2014 NH UPL demonstration upon which the 2015 NH UPL demonstration is contingent on.

5. Does any governmental provider receive payments that in the aggregate (normal per diem, supplemental, enhanced, other) exceed their reasonable costs of providing services? If payments exceed the cost of services, do you recoup the excess and return the Federal share of the excess to CMS on the quarterly expenditure report?

Response: Effective January 1, 2012, the rate methodology included in the approved State Plan for non-specialty nursing facility services for the operating component of the rate is a blended statewide/peer group price adjusted for case mix and wage differentials (WEF). Specialty nursing facility and units are paid the operating rate in effect on January 1, 2009. The capital component of the rate for all specialty and non-specialty facilities is based upon a cost based methodology. We are unaware of any requirement under current federal law or regulation that limits individual provider payments to their actual costs.

ACA Assurances:

 Maintenance of Effort (MOE). Under section 1902(gg) of the Social Security Act (the Act), as amended by the Affordable Care Act, as a condition of receiving any Federal payments under the Medicaid program during the MOE period indicated below, the State shall not have in effect any eligibility standards, methodologies, or procedures in its Medicaid program which are more restrictive than such eligibility provisions as in effect in its Medicaid program on March 10, 2010.

MOE Period.

- Begins on: March 10, 2010, and
- Ends on: The date the Secretary of the Federal Department of Health and Human Services determines an Exchange established by a State under the provisions of section 1311 of the Affordable Care Act is fully operational.

Response: This SPA complies with the conditions of the MOE provision of section 1902(gg) of the Act for continued funding under the Medicaid program.

2. Section 1905(y) and (z) of the Act provides for increased FMAPs for expenditures made on or after January 1, 2014 for individuals determined eligible under section 1902(a)(10)(A)(i)(VIII) of the Act. Under section 1905(cc) of the Act, the increased FMAP under sections 1905(y) and (z) would not be available for States that require local political subdivisions to contribute amounts toward the non-Federal share of the State's expenditures at a greater percentage than would have been required on December 31, 2009.

<u>Prior to January 1, 2014</u> States may potentially require contributions by local political subdivisions toward the non-Federal share of the States' expenditures at percentages <u>greater than</u> were required on December 31, 2009. <u>However</u>, because of the provisions of section 1905(cc) of the Act, it is important to determine and document/flag any SPAs/State plans which have such greater percentages prior to the January 1, 2014 date in order to <u>anticipate potential violations and/or appropriate corrective actions</u> by the States and the Federal government.

Response: This SPA would $[\]$ / would \underline{not} $[\]$ violate these provisions, if they remained in effect on or after January 1, 2015.

3. Please indicate whether the State is currently in conformance with the requirements of section 1902(a)(37) of the Act regarding prompt payment of claims.

Response: This State does comply with the requirements of section 1902(a)(37) of the Act regarding prompt payment of claims.

Tribal Assurance:

Section 1902(a)(73) of the Social Security Act the Act requires a State in which one or more Indian Health Programs or Urban Indian Organizations furnish health care services to establish a process for the State Medicaid agency to seek advice on a regular ongoing basis from designees of Indian health programs whether operated by the Indian Health Service HIS Tribes or Tribal organizations under the Indian Self Determination and Education Assistance Act ISDEAA or Urban Indian Organizations under the Indian Health Care Improvement Act.

IHCIA Section 2107(e)(I) of the Act was also amended to apply these requirements to the Children's Health Insurance Program CHIP. Consultation is required concerning Medicaid and CHIP matters having a direct impact on Indian health programs and Urban Indian organizations.

- a) Please describe the process the State uses to seek advice on a regular ongoing basis from federally recognized tribes Indian Health Programs and Urban Indian Organizations on matters related to Medicaid and CHIP programs and for consultation on State Plan Amendments waiver proposals waiver extensions waiver amendments waiver renewals and proposals for demonstration projects prior to submission to CMS.
- b) Please include information about the frequency inclusiveness and process for seeking such advice.
- c) Please describe the consultation process that occurred specifically for the development and submission of this State Plan Amendment when it occurred and who was involved.

Response: Tribal consultation was performed in accordance with the State's tribal consultation policy as approved in SPA 11-06, and documentation of such is included with the original submission. To date, no feedback has been received from any tribal representative in response to the proposed change in this SPA.